arXiv:0705.3275v1 [math.RA] 23 May 2007

QUANTUM DOUBLES OF CERTAIN RANK TWO POINTED HOPF ALGEBRAS

S. BURCIU

Abstract. A certain class of rank two pointed Hopf algebras is considered. The simple modules of their Drinfel'd double is described using Radford's method [Rad03]. The socle of the tensor product of two such modules is computed and a formula similar to the one in [Che00] is obtained in some conditions. Cases when such a tensor product is completely irreducible are also given in the last section.

Introduction

The Drinfel'd double of a finite dimensional Hopf algebra was introduced by Drinfel'd in order to provide solutions of the quantum Yang-Baxter equation in statistical mechanics. Representations of a Hopf algebra form a ring where the product is given by the tensor product of the representations. But for a given Hopf algebra the description of its representation ring is generally unknown. In the case of a Drinfel'd double this representation ring is commutative.

Recently, Radford gave a new method to construct simple modules for the Drinfel'd double of a graded Hopf algebra where the bottom term is both commutative and cocommutative [Rad03]. The construction parameterizes the simple modules of the Drinfel'd double of a finite dimensional pointed Hopf H algebra by a pair of group-like elements of H and H^*. New pointed Hopf algebras were recently described, for example see [KR06], [AS06]. If H_0 is the coradical of a Hopf algebra H and H_1 is the first term of its coradical filtration let dim $k \otimes_{H_0} H_1 = n + 1$. In [KR06] the authors defined the rank of H to be n if H is generated by H_1 as algebra. They classified rank one pointed Hopf algebras in characteristic zero and they also studied the representation theory of their doubles. For a field of positive characteristic the rank one pointed Hopf algebras were classified in [Sch06].

In this paper we study the Drinfel'd double of some rank two pointed Hopf algebras, describe their simple modules and determine the socle of the tensor product of any two such simple modules. Previously, H.X Chen described the socle of a such tensor product when the Hopf algebra H is a Taft algebra [Che00]. Recently, based on his results, the socle of the tensor product was described for a certain class of rank one pointed Hopf algebras in [Per06]. For so called generalized Taft algebras the representation theory of their doubles was studied in [EGST06]. The class of pointed

---

MSC (2000): 16W35, 16W40.

Hopf algebras that we consider in this paper was constructed in [BDG00]. They are pointed Hopf algebras of rank two and the two variables that generate H_1 as H_0-module are of nilpotent type. The paper follows the methods developed in [Che00]. The formulae for the socle of the tensor product of two simple modules are in some cases analogous to the ones obtained in [Che00] and [Per06]. It is worth remarking that a similar formula holds for a general pointed Hopf algebra of any rank belonging to the class of Hopf algebras defined in [BDG00]. Using the terminology from [BDG00] these are Hopf algebras constructed with zero Ore derivations and with the coradical determined by an abelian group.

The first section of the paper presents Radford's method of constructing simple modules for the quantum doubles of graded pointed Hopf algebras with the coradical both commutative and cocommutative.

The second section of the paper describes the Hopf algebras of rank two that are considered in this paper and which are constructed in [BDG00]. Their dual structure is also given in this section.

In section 3 the quantum doubles of these Hopf algebras is described and a condition for a cyclic module to be irreducible is given in Lemma 3.11.

The main theorem that describes the socle of the tensor product of two simple $D(H)$-modules is given in the last section. It also describes necessary conditions for such a tensor product to contain a one dimensional submodule.

1. Preliminaries

In this paper k is any field of characteristic zero although it is need only that k contains enough roots of unity. For an abelian group G we denote by $\widehat{G}$ the set of linear characters of G. For a coalgebra C over k Sweedler's notation $\Delta(c) = \sum c_{(1)} \otimes c_{(2)}$ is used for the comultiplication of $c \in C$. The set of group-like elements of C is $G(C) = \{c \in C | \Delta(c) = c \otimes c\}$.

Let H be a finite dimensional Hopf algebra with antipode S. For $f \in H^*$ and $h \in H$ define $f \rightharpoonup h = f(h_{(2)})h_{(1)}$ and $h \leftharpoonup f = f(h_{(1)})h_{(2)}$. The Drinfel'd double of H is the Hopf algebra $D(H)$ such that $D(H) = H^{*cop} \otimes H$ as coalgebras and with the multiplication given by

$$(g \otimes h)(f \otimes l) = \sum g(h_1 \rightharpoonup f \leftharpoonup S^{-1}h_3) \otimes h_2 l$$

Its antipode is given by $S(f \otimes h) = S(h)S^{-1}(f)$.

Lemma 1.1. *(Radford,* [Rad03]*) Let H be a finite dimensional Hopf algebra. For $\beta \in G(H^*)$ consider the H^*-module $H_\beta = H$ with the action of H^* given by $f \cdot h = f(h_2)h_1$. Define*

$$h \,{}_\beta\!\cdot a = \sum < \beta\, , h_2 > h_3 a S^{-1} h_1 \tag{1.2}$$

for all $h,\ a \in H$. Then H_β with the above two actions becomes a $D(H)$-module.

If $g \in G(H)$ then let $H_\beta \cdot g$ the $D(H)$ submodule of H_β generated by g. Then one has the following proposition:

Proposition 1.3. *(Radford,* [Rad03]*) Let* $H = \bigoplus_{n=0}^{\infty} H_n$ *be a finite dimensional graded Hopf algebra over the field* k*. Suppose that* $H_0 = kG$ *where* G *is a finite abelian group. Then*

$$(\beta\, , g) \to [H_\beta \cdot g]$$

is a bijection between $G(H^*) \times G(H)$ *and the set of isomorphism classes of simple* $D(H)$*-modules.*

For any $q \neq 0$ and n a natural number let $(n)_q = 1 + q + \cdots + q^{n-1}$.

2. RANK TWO POINTED HOPF ALGEBRAS

In this paper the following pointed Hopf algebras of rank two are considered. They can be constructed with zero derivations from Ore extensions ([BDG00], pp.750). Let G be a finite abelian group and x_1, x_2 two variables. Let $c_1\, , c_2$ two elements of G and $c_1^*\, , c_2^*$ be two linear characters of G such that $< c_2^*\, , c_1 >< c_1^*\, , c_2 >= 1$ and $< c_i^*\, , c_i >$ is a primitive n_i-th root of unity for $i = 1\, , 2$.

Let H be the algebra generated by G, x_1 and x_2 subject to the following relations

$$x_1^{n_1} = 0\, , x_2^{n_2} = 0\, , x_1 g =< c_1^*\, , g > g x_1\, , x_2 g =< c_2^*\, , g > g x_2\, , x_2 x_1 =< c_2^*\, , c_1 > x_1 x_2$$

Then H is a pointed Hopf algebra [BDG00] with comultiplication given by

$$\Delta(g) = g \otimes g,\ \Delta(x_i) = c_i \otimes x_i + x_i \otimes 1$$

and antipode given by $S(g) = g^{-1}$ and $S(x_i) = -c_i^{-1} x_i$. H is a finite dimensional algebra with a basis given by $\{g {x_1}^p {x_2}^q | 0 \leq p \leq n_1 - 1\, , 0 \leq q \leq n_2 - 1\}$.

The dual of H is also described in ([BDG00], pp.760). If $w = (w_1\, , w_2)$ is a pair of two nonnegative integers let $x^w := x_1^{w_1} x_2^{w_2}$. Define $\xi_1\, , \xi_2 \in H^*$ given by $\xi_i(x_i g) = 1$ and $\xi_i(x^w g) = 0$ if $x^w \neq x_i$. Then H^* as algebra is generated by the linear characters χ of G and $\xi_1\, , \xi_2$ subject to the following relations:

$$\xi_1^{n_1} = 0\, , \xi_2^{n_2} = 0\, , \chi \xi_i =< \chi\, , c_i > \xi_i \chi\, , \xi_2 \xi_1 =< c_1^{*-1}\, , c_2^{-1} > \xi_2 \xi_1$$

The coalgebra structure H^* is given by

$$\Delta(\chi) = \chi \otimes \chi\, , \Delta(\xi_i) = c_i^{*-1} \otimes \xi_i + \xi_i \otimes 1$$

and the antipode is given by $S(\chi) = \chi^{-1}$ and $S(\xi_i) = -c_i^* \xi_i$.

3. THE DOUBLE OF H

Given the rank two pointed Hopf algebras from the previous section their Drinfel'd doubles are characterized as follows.

Proposition 3.1. *The double* $D(H)$ *of* H *is generated by* G, $\widehat{G}$ *and* x_1 $x_2\, , \xi_1\, , \xi_2$ *subject to the relations defining* H *and* H^* *and the following relations:*

(1) $g \xi_i =< c_i^*\, , g > \xi_i g$ *for* $i = 1\, , 2$.

(2) $x_1\xi_2 = \xi_2 x_1 \, , x_2\xi_1 = \xi_1 x_2$.
(3) $[x_1 \, , \xi_1] = c_1^{*-1} - c_1$
(4) $[x_2 \, , \xi_2] = c_2^{*-1} - c_2$.
(5) $g\gamma = \gamma g$ *for all* $g \in G$ *and* $\gamma \in \hat{G}$.
(6) $x_i\gamma = \gamma(c_i)\gamma x_i$ *for* $i = 1 \, , 2$.

Proof. One has that

$$hf = (h_1 \rightharpoonup f \leftharpoonup S^{-1}h_3)h_2$$

for all $h \in H$ and $f \in H^*$. For the first formula notice that $g \rightharpoonup \xi_i \leftharpoonup g^{-1} = c_i^*(g)\xi_i$. To prove relations 2), 3) and 4) notice that

$$\Delta^2(x_1) = c_1 \otimes c_1 \otimes x_1 + c_1 \otimes x_1 \otimes 1 + x_1 \otimes 1 \otimes 1$$

Then $x_1\xi_2 = (x_1 \rightharpoonup \xi_2 \leftharpoonup S^{-1}x_1) + (c_1 \rightharpoonup \xi_2)x_1 + x_1 \rightharpoonup \xi_2$. Since $c_1 \rightharpoonup \xi_2 = \xi_2$ the second term of the sum is $\xi_2 x_1$. Since $S^{-1}x_1 = -x_1c_1^{-1}$ and $x_1 \rightharpoonup \xi_2 = \xi_2 \leftharpoonup x_1 = 0$ the firs and the third term of the previous sum are zero and one gets the second formula. Similarly $x_1\xi_1 = (c_1 \rightharpoonup \xi_1 \leftharpoonup S^{-1}x_1)c_1 + (c_1 \rightharpoonup \xi_1)x_1 + (x_1 \rightharpoonup \xi_1)$. Since $S^{-1}x_1 = -x_1c^{-1}$, $c_1 \rightharpoonup \xi_1 = \xi_1$, $\xi_1 \leftharpoonup x_1 = \epsilon$ and $\epsilon \leftharpoonup c_1^{-1} = \epsilon$ the first term of the above sum becomes $-c_1$. Since $c_1 \rightharpoonup \xi_1 = \xi_1$ the second term is $\xi_1 x_1$. The third term is $x_1 \rightharpoonup \xi_1 = c_1^{*-1}$ and one gets the third formula. The forth formula is proved similarly. One has $g\gamma = (g \rightharpoonup \gamma \leftharpoonup g^{-1})g = \gamma g$ and relation 5) is proved. For the last formula notice that $c_1 \rightharpoonup \gamma = \gamma(c_1)\gamma$ and $x_1 \rightharpoonup \gamma = \gamma \leftharpoonup x_1 = 0$. □

.

For $1 \leq i \leq 2$ let $q_i = < c_i^* \, , c_i >$. It follows from the previous section that q_i is a primitive root of order n_i

Lemma 3.2. *With the above notations one has that*

$$[x_i^r \, , \xi_i] = x_i^{r-1}[(r)_{q_i}c_i^{*-1} - (r)_{q_i^{-1}}c_i]$$

for $1 \leq r \leq n_i - 1$.

Proof. We proceed by induction on r. For $r = 1$ one has one of the defining relation of $D(H)$. Then

$$[x_1^{r+1} \, , \xi_1] = x_1^r[x_1 \, , \xi_1] + [x_1^r \, , \xi_1]x_1 = x_1^r(c_1^{*-1} - c_1) + x_1^r((r)_{q_1}c_1^{*-1} - (r)_{q_1^{-1}}c_1)x_1$$

But since $c_1^{*-1}x_1 = q_1x_1c_1^{*-1}$, $c_1x_1 = {q_1}^{-1}x_1c_1$ and $(r+1)_q = q(r)_{(q)} + 1$ for any $q \neq 0$, one gets the desired formula. □

For $i = 1, \ 2$, let

$$\alpha_i(\beta, \ g; \ l) = \beta(c_i)(l)_{q_i^{-1}} - c_i^{*-1}(g)(l)_{q_i}$$

If V is a $D(H)$-module and $y \in D(H)$ let $V_y = \{v \in V | yv = 0\}$. Put $V_x = V_{x_1} \cap V_{x_2}$ and $V_\xi = V_{\xi_1} \cap V_{\xi_2}$.

Lemma 3.3. *Let V be a $D(H)$-module, $i = 1,\ 2$ and $0 \neq v \in V_{\xi_i}$. Suppose there is $\beta \in \hat{G}$ and $h \in G$ such that $gv = \beta(g)v$ and $\chi v = \chi(h)v$ for any $g \in G$ and $\chi \in \hat{G}$.*

1) Then

$$\xi_i.(x_i^l v) = \alpha_i(\beta,\ h;\ l)(x_i^{l-1} v)$$

for any $i = 1,\ 2$

2) Suppose further that $\beta(c_1)c_1^*(h) = q_1^{l_1-1}$ and $\beta(c_2)c_2^*(h) = q_2^{l_2-1}$ for some l_i with $1 \leq l_i \leq n_i$. Then for any $1 \leq r \leq n_i - 1$ it follows that $\alpha_i(\beta,\ h;\ r) = 0$ if and only if $r = l_i$.

Proof. 1) One has that
$\xi_1.(x_1^l \cdot v) = (x_1^l \xi_1 - [x_1^l,\ \xi_1]) \cdot v = x_1^l \xi_1 \cdot v - [x_1^l,\ \xi_1] \cdot v = -[x_1^l,\ \xi_1] \cdot g$ since $\xi_1 \cdot v = 0$. From Lemma 3.2 it follows $\xi_1.(x_1^l \cdot v) = -x_1^{l-1}[(l)_{q_1} c_1^{*-1} - (l)_{q_1^{-1}} c_1] \cdot v = -(l)_{q_1} x_1^{l-1}.(c_1^{*-1} \cdot v) + (l)_{q_1^{-1}} x_1^{l-1}.(c_1 \cdot v) = -(l)_{q_1} c_1^{*-1}(h)(x_1^{l-1} \cdot v) + \beta(c_1)(l)_{q_1^{-1}}(x_1^{l-1} \cdot v) = (\beta(c_1)(l)_{q_1^{-1}} - c_1^{*-1}(h)(l)_{q_1})(x_1^{l-1} \cdot v)$ and the the firs assertion is proved for $i = 1$. The proof for $i = 2$ is similar. One has that $\alpha_1(\beta,\ h;\ r) = 0$ if and only if $\beta(c_1)(r)_{q_1^{-1}} = c_1^{*-1}(h)(r)_{q_1}$ or $\beta(c_1)c_1^*(h)(r)_{q_1^{-1}} = (r)_{q_1}$. Thus $q_1^{l_1-1}\frac{q_1^{-r}-1}{q_1^{-1}-1} = \frac{q_1^r-1}{q_1-1}$ which is equivalent to $q_1^{l_1} - q_1^{l_1-r} = q_1^r - 1$. Either both differences are zero which implies $r = n_1$ or $q_1^{l_1} = q_1^r$ which is equivalent to $l_1 = r$. The proof for $i = 2$ is similar. □

Notice that H is a graded Hopf algebra letting $g \in G$ with degree zero and $x_1,\ x_2$ with degree 1. If $g \in G$ and $\beta \in \widehat{G}$ it follows from Proposition 1.3 that $H_\beta \cdot g$ are all the simple $D(H)$ modules up to isomorphism classes.

Lemma 3.4. *For the simple $D(H)$-module $H_\beta \cdot g$ one has the following formulae:*

(1) $x_{i\ \beta}^l \cdot g = \prod_{j=0}^{l-1}(\beta(c_i) - c_i^{*-1}(gc_i^{-j}))x_i^l g c_i^{-l}$.
(2) $\xi_j.(x_{i\ \beta}^l \cdot g) = 0$ *for* $i \neq j$.
(3) $\xi_i.(x_{i\ \beta}^l \cdot g) = \alpha_i(\beta,\ g;\ l)(x_i^{l-1}{}_\beta \cdot g)$.

Proof. For any $a \in H_\beta \cdot g$ one has

$$x_{1\ \beta} \cdot a = \beta(c_1)x_1 a c_1^{-1} - a x_1 c_1^{-1} \tag{3.5}$$

Indeed using formula 1.2 one has $x_{1\ \beta} \cdot a = \sum < \beta\ , x_{1(2)} > x_{1(3)} a S^{-1} x_{1(1)}$ But since $\Delta^2(x_1) = c_1 \otimes c_1 \otimes x_1 + c_1 \otimes x_1 \otimes 1 + x_1 \otimes 1 \otimes 1$, $\beta(x_1) = 0$ and $S^{-1}x_1 = -x_1 c_1^{-1}$ one gets the desired formula.

In particular $x_{1\ \beta} \cdot g = \beta(c_1)x_1 g c_1^{-1} - g x_1 c_1^{-1} = (\beta(c_1) - c_1^{*-1}(g))x_1 g c_1^{-1}$ which proves the first formula for $l = 1$. By induction on l one gets the first formula. Indeed $x_1^{l+1}{}_\beta \cdot g = x_1.(x_{1\ \beta}^l \cdot g) = \prod_{j=0}^{l-1}(\beta(c_1) - c_1^{*-1}(gc_1^{-j}))(x_{1\ \beta} \cdot (x_1^l g c_1^{-l}))$.

But using formula 3.5 one has that

$$x_{1\ \beta} \cdot\ (x_1^l g c_1^{-l}) = \beta(c_1)x_1^{l+1} g c_1^{-l-1} - x_1^l g c_1^{-l} x_1 c_1^{-1} = (\beta(c_1) - c_1^{*-1}(gc_1^{-l}))x_1^{l+1} g c_1^{-l-1}$$

and the formula for $l + 1$ is obtained. For $i = 2$ the proof is similar.

The second formula is clear since $\xi_{2\ \beta} \cdot (x_1^l g c_1^{-l}) = \xi_2((x_1^l g c_1^{-l})_2)((x_1^l g c_1^{-l})_1) = 0$. Since $\xi_{i\ \beta} \cdot g = 0$ the third formula follows from Lemma 3.3. $\square$

One more technical lemma is needed:

Lemma 3.6. *For the simple $D(H)$-module $H_\beta \cdot g$ the following formula holds :*

$$x_1^m.(x_{2\ \beta}^l \cdot g) = \prod_{j=0}^{l-1}(\beta(c_2) - c_2^{*-1}(gc_2^{-j})) \prod_{j=0}^{m-1}(\beta(c_1) - c_1^{*-1}(gc_1^{-j}))x_1^m x_2^l g c_2^{-l} c_1^{-m}$$

Proof. For $m = 0$ one gets the the first formula of the above lemma. We proceed by induction on m. One has $x_1^{m+1}.(x_{2\ \beta}^l \cdot g) = x_1.(x_1^m.(x_{2\ \beta}^l \cdot g)) = \prod_{j=0}^{l-1}(\beta(c_2) - c_2^{*-1}(gc_2^{-j}))\prod_{j=0}^{m-1}(\beta(c_1) - c_1^{*-1}(gc_1^{-j}))(x_{1\ \beta} \cdot (x_1^m x_2^l g c_2^{-l} c_1^{-m}))$ Using formula 3.5 one gets the desired formula since

$$x_{1\ \beta} \cdot (x_1^m x_2^l g c_2^{-l} c_1^{-m}) = \beta(c_1) x_1^{m+1} x_2^l g c_2^{-l} c_1^{-m-1} - x_1^m x_2^l g c_2^{-l} c_1^{-m} x_1 c_1^{-1}$$

and $x_1^m x_2^l g c_2^{-l} c_1^{-m} x_1 c_1^{-1} = c_1^{*-1}(g c_2^{-l} c_1^{-m}) c_2^*(c_1)^l x_1^{m+1} x_2^l g c_2^{-l} c_1^{-m-1} = c_1^{*-1}(g c_1^{-m}) x_1^{m+1} x_2^l g c_2^{-l} c_1^{-m-1}$ since $c_2^*(c_1)c_1^*(c_2) = 1$. $\square$

Let $\Lambda = \widehat{G} \times G$ and $\phi_1 = (c_1,\ c_1^*)$, $\phi_2 = (c_2,\ c_2^*)$ two elements in $\widehat{\Lambda} = G \times \widehat{G}$. Define two subgroups of Λ namely $\Lambda_1 =< \lambda \in \Lambda | \lambda(\phi_1) \in \{1,\ q_1,\ \cdots q_1^{n_1-1}\} >$ and $\Lambda_2 =< \lambda \in \Lambda | \lambda(\phi_2) \in \{1,\ q_2,\ \cdots q_2^{n_2-1}\} >$. For $\lambda = (\beta,\ h) \in \Lambda$ associate two numbers $1 \leq l(\lambda)_1 \leq n_1$ and $1 \leq l(\lambda)_2 \leq n_2$ in the following way. If $\lambda \in \Lambda_1$ define $l(\lambda)_1$ by the equality $\lambda(\phi_1) = q_1^{l(\lambda)_1 - 1}$. Otherwise, if $\lambda \notin \Lambda_1$ put $l(\lambda)_1 = n_1$. Similarly $l(\lambda)_2$ is defined by the equality $\lambda(\phi_2) = q_2^{l(\lambda)_2 - 1}$ if $\lambda \in \Lambda_2$ and $l(\lambda)_2 = n_2$ otherwise. For simplicity we write $l_1 = l(\lambda)_1$ and $l_2 = l(\lambda)_2$ if no confusion arises.

Proposition 3.7. *Let $\lambda = (\beta,\ h)$ and $V = H_{\ \beta} \cdot h$. Then V has dimension $l(\lambda)_1 l(\lambda)_2$ and a basis is given by $x_1^{i-1} x_{2\ \ \beta}^{j-1} \cdot h$ for $1 \leq i \leq l(\lambda)_1$ and $1 \leq j \leq l(\lambda)_2$.*

Proof. Let $v = h \in V$. Then $gv = \beta(g)v$ and $\chi v = \chi(h)v$. Lemma 3.4 gives us that $x_1^m v = 0$ if and only if $\beta(c_1) - c_1^{*-1}(hc_1^{m-1}) = 0$ or $m = n_1$. This is equivalent to $\beta(c_1)c_1^*(h) = q_1^{m-1}$ or $m = n_1$. Thus $m = l(\lambda)_1 = l_1$. Similarly from the same lemma $x_2^m v = 0$ if $\beta(c_2)c_2^*(h) = q_2^{m-1}$ or $m = l_2$.

Let $x_{i,\ j} = x_1^{i-1} x_{2\ \ \beta}^{j-1} \cdot g$ from Lemma 3.6 it follows that $x_{i,\ j}$ are linearly independent. But

$$g.x_{i,\ j} = c_1^*(g)^{-(i-1)} c_2^*(g)^{-(j-1)} \beta(g) x_{i,\ j} = (c_1^{*-(i-1)} c_2^{*-(j-1)} \beta)(g) x_{i,\ j}$$

and

$$\chi.x_{i,\ j} = \chi^{-(i-1)}(c_1)\chi^{-(j-1)}(c_2)\chi(h)x_{i,\ j} = \chi(c_1^{-(i-1)} c_2^{-(j-1} h) x_{i,\ j}$$

On the other hand $\xi_1 x_{i,\ j} = \xi_1 x_1^{i-1} x_2^{j-1} \cdot v = x_1^{i-1} \xi_1 \cdot x_2^{j-1} v - [x_1^{i-1},\ \xi_1] \cdot x_2^{j-1} v$. Since $\xi_1 x_2 = x_2 \xi_1$ and $\xi_1 \cdot v = 0$ using Lemma 3.2 one gets that $\xi_1 x_{i,\ j} = x_1^{i-1}[-(i-1)_{q_1} c_1^{*-1} x_2^{j-1} h + (i-1) q_1^{-1} c_1 x_2^{j-1} h] = x_1^{i-1}[-(i-1)_{q_1} c_1^*(c_2)^{j-1} c_1^{*-1}(h) x_2^{j-1} h + (i -

$1)q_1^{-1}c_2^*(c_1)^{-(j-1)}\beta(c_1)x_2^{j-1}h]$. Since $c_1^*(c_2)c_2^*(c_1) = 1$ the last term becomes $\xi_1.x_{i,\,j} = c_1^*(c_2)^{j-1}[-(i-1)_{q_1}c_1^{*-1}(h) + (i-1)q_1^{-1}\beta(c_1)]x_{i-1,\,j}$ which can be written as

$$\xi_1 x_{i,\,j} = c_1^*(c_2)^{j-1}\alpha_1(\beta,\ h;\ i-1)x_{i-1,\,j}$$

Similarly $\xi_2.x_{i,\,j} = \xi_2 x_1^{i-1}x_2^{j-1}\cdot v = x_1^{j-1}\xi_2(x_2^{j-1}\cdot v)$ Using Lemma 3.4 part 3, it follows that $\xi_2(x_2^{j-1}\cdot v) = \alpha_2(\beta,\ h;\ j-1)x_2^{j-2}\cdot v$. Thus $\xi_2.x_{i\ ,j} = \alpha_2(\beta\,; h;\ j-1)x_{i,\,j-1}$. Clearly $x_1.x_{i,\,j} = x_{i+1,\,j}$ and $x_2.x_{i,\,j} = x_2 x_1^{i-1}x_2^{j-1}\cdot v = c_2^*(c_1)^{i-1}x_{i,\,j+1}$. It follows that the irreducible module V is spanned by $x_{i.\,j}$ and its dimension is equal to $l_1 l_2$ □

Corollary 3.8. *The module* $H_{\,\beta}\cdot g$ *is one dimensional if and only if* $\beta(c_1)c_1^*(g) = 1$ *and* $\beta(c_2)c_2^*(g) = 1$.

Lemma 3.9. *Let* $\phi : D(H) \to End\ V$ *be a representation of* $D(H)$*. Then* $V_\xi \neq 0$ *and it has a basis of common eigenvectors of* $\phi(g)$ *and* $\phi(\chi)$ *for any* $g \in G$ *and* $\chi \in \hat{G}$*.*

Proof. Clearly $V_{\xi_2} \neq 0$. Let $v \in V_{\xi_2}$. If $\xi_1 v = 0$ then $v \in V_\xi = V_{\xi_1} \cap V_{\xi_2}$. Otherwise let m minimal such that $\xi_1^m v = 0$. Then $\xi_1^{m-1}v \in V_\xi$. Since $\xi_1 g = c_1^{*-1}(g)g\xi_1$ and $\xi_2 g = c_2^{*-1}(g)g\xi_2$ one has that $gV_{\xi_i} \subset V_{\xi_i}$ and therefore $gV_\xi \subset V_\xi$. Similarly $\chi V_\xi \subset V_\xi$. Since the operators $\phi(g),\ \phi(\chi)$ commute one to another it follows that they can be simultaneously diagonalized on V_ξ □

Lemma 3.10. *If* V *is an irreducible representation of* $D(H)$ *then* $\dim_k V_\xi = 1$*. If* V *is a completely reducible representation of* $D(H)$ *then the number of irreducible summands of* V *equals* $\dim_k V_\xi$*.*

Proof. Let $V = H_{\,\beta}\cdot h$. Then $x_{1,\,1} = h \in V_\xi$. We use the description of the simple $D(H)$-modules from Proposition 3.7. Suppose $v = \sum_{i=1}^{i=l_1}\sum_{j=1}^{j=l_2} a_{i,\,j}x_{i\,j} \in V_\xi$ with $a_{i,\,j} \in k$. Then $\xi_1 v = \sum_{i=1}^{i=l_1}\sum_{j=1}^{j=l_2} a_{i,\,j}\xi_1 x_{i,\,j} = \sum_{i=2}^{i=l_1}\sum_{j=1}^{j=l_2} c_1^*(c_2)^{j-1}\alpha_1(\beta,\ h;\ i-1)a_{i,\,j}x_{i-1,\,j}$. Since $\alpha_1(\beta,\ h;\ i-1) \neq 0$ for $2 \leq i \leq l_1$, it follows that $a_{i,\,j} = 0$ for any $2 \leq i \leq l_1$ and any $1 \leq j \leq l_2$. Similarly $\xi_2 v = 0$ implies that $a_{i,\,j} = 0$ for any $1 \leq i \leq l_1$ and $2 \leq j \leq l_2$. Therefore $v = a_{1,\,1}x_{1,\,1}$ and V_ξ is one dimensional. □

For the last lemma of this section one needs to assume that $< c_1 > \cap < c_2 >= \{1\}$ or $< c_1^* > \cap < c_2^* >= \{1\}$, where by $< g >$ is denoted the cyclic subgroup generated by an element $g \in G$.

Lemma 3.11. *Let* V *be a* $D(H)$*-module and* $0 \neq v \in V_\xi$*. Suppose there is* $\beta \in \hat{G}$ *and* $h \in G$ *such that* $gv = \beta(g)v$ *and* $\chi v = \chi(h)v$ *for any* $g \in G$ *and* $\chi \in \hat{G}$*. Let* U *the submodule generated by* v*. Then* U *is irreducible if and only if* $x_1^i v \neq 0$ *implies* $\xi_1.(x_1^i v) \neq 0$ *and* $x_2^i v \neq 0$ *implies* $\xi_2.(x_2^i v) \neq 0$*. In this situation* $U \cong H_{\,\beta}\cdot h$*.*

Proof. Suppose U is irreducible. Then $x_2^i v$ is not a scalar multiple of v for $1 \leq i < n_2$ since v and $x_2^i v$ are common eigenvectors of $\phi(c_2)$ corresponding to different eigenvalues. Indeed $g.(x_2^i v) = c_2^{*-i}(g)\beta(g)(x_2^i v)$ and $c_2^{*-i}(c_2)\beta(c_2) \neq \beta(c_2)$. Since U is irreducible, by Lemma 3.10 one has that $U_\xi =< v >$. On the other hand

$\xi_1.(x_2^iv) = x_2^i\xi_1v = 0$. Therefore $\xi_2.(x_2^iv) \neq 0$ since x_2^iv is not in U_ξ. Similarly it can be proved that $x_1^iv \neq 0$ implies $\xi_1.(x_1^iv) \neq 0$.
Assume now that $x_2^iv \neq 0$ implies $\xi_2.(x_2^iv) \neq 0$ and $x_1^iv \neq 0$ implies $\xi_1.(x_1^iv) \neq 0$. Let m be minimal with $x_2^mv = 0$, $1 \leq m \leq n_2$. Then $x_2^{m-1}v \neq 0$. Using Lemma 3.3 one has that $\xi_2.(x_2^mv) = \alpha_2(\beta,\ h;\ m)x_2^{m-1}v$ where $\alpha_2(\beta,\ h;\ m)$ was defined before. Therefore $\alpha_2(\beta,\ h;\ m) = 0$ which by the same lemma implies $m = l_2$ where l_2 was defined such that $\beta(c_2)c_2^*(h) = q_2^{l_2-1}$. Since $\xi_2(x_2^iv) \neq 0$ for $1 \leq i \leq l_2 - 1$ it follows from the same lemma that $\alpha_2(\beta,\ h;\ i) \neq 0$ for $1 \leq i \leq l_2 - 1$. Similarly it can be shown that m minimal with $x_1^mv = 0$ is $m = l_1$ where l_1 was defined as before by the relation $\beta(c_1)c_1^*(h) = q_2^{l_1-1}$. It follows in the same manner that $\alpha_1(\beta,\ h;\ i) \neq 0$ for $1 \leq i \leq l_1 - 1$. Let $x_{i,\ j} = x_1^{i-1}x_2^{j-1}v$ for $1 \leq i \leq l_1$ and $1 \leq j \leq l_2$. First $x_{i,\ j} \neq 0$. Indeed for $v' = x_2^{j-1}v$ one has that $v' \in V_{\xi_1}$. One has that $gv' = gx_2^{j-1}v = c_2^{*-(j-1)}(g)x_2^{j-1}gv = c_2^{*-(j-1)}(g)\beta(g)x_2^{j-1}v = (c_2^{*-(j-1)}\beta)(g)v'$ and $\chi v' = \chi x_2^{j-1}v = \chi(c_2^{-(j-1)})x_2^{j-1}\chi v = \chi(c_2^{-(j-1)}h)v'$. Applying Lemma 3.3 for v' one has that $\xi_1x_{i,\ j} = \alpha_1(\beta c_2^{*-(j-1)},\ c_2^{-(j-1)}h;\ i)x_1^{i-1}v' = \alpha_1(\beta c_2^{*-(j-1)},\ c_2^{-(j-1)}h;\ i)x_{i-1,\ j}$. Since $c_1^*(c_2)c_2^*(c_1) = 1$, it is easy to see that $\alpha_1(\beta c_2^{*-j-1},\ c_2^{-(j-1)}h;\ i) = c_1^*(c_2)^{j-1}\alpha_1(\beta,\ h;\ i)$ and this is a nonzero scalar since $1 \leq i \leq l_1 - 1$. Thus $x_{i,\ j} = 0$ implies $x_{i-1,\ j} = 0$. But $x_{1,\ j} = x_2^{j-1}v \neq 0$. It follows that all $x_{i,\ j}$ are not zero.
Secondly the elements $x_{i,\ j}$ are linearly independent. Indeed
$g.x_{i,\ j} = c_1^*(g)^{-(i-1)}c_2^*(g)^{-(j-1)}\beta(g)x_{i,\ j}$. Therefore $x_{i\ j}$ are common eigenvectors for all the applications $\phi(g)$ $g \in G$. It will be shown that these eigenvalues are distinct and from here it follows that the elements $x_{i,\ j}$ are linearly independent. Suppose that $c_1^{*-(i-1)}c_2^{*-(j-1)} = c_1^{*-(i'-1)}c_2^{*-(j'-1)}$ for some $1 \leq i,\ i' \leq l_1$ and $1 \leq j,\ j' \leq l_2$. Then $c_1^{*(i'-i)} = c_2^{*(j-j')}$ which is impossible if $< c_1^* > \cap < c_2^* >= \{1\}$. Similarly, since $\chi x_{i,\ j} = \chi^{-(i-1)}(c_1)\chi^{-(j-1)}(c_2)\chi(h)x_{i,\ j}$ then two eigenvectors $x_{i,\ j}$ and $x_{i',\ j'}$ belong to the same eigenvalue for all $\chi \in \hat{G}$ if and only if $c_1^{i-i'} = c_2^{j-j'}$. Therefore this implies that $< c_1 > \cap < c_2 >\neq \{1\}$.
Now using the proof of Proposition 3.7 it is easy to see that the map from U to $H_{\ \beta} \cdot h$ sending $x_{i,\ j}$ to $x_1^{i-1}x_2^{j-1}{}_{\ \beta} \cdot h$ is an isomorphism of $D(H)$-modules. □

4. The tensor product

Let $h,\ h' \in G$ and $\beta,\ \beta' \in \hat{G}$. Let $V_1 = H_{\ \beta} \cdot h$, $V_2 = H_{\ \beta'} \cdot h'$ and $V = V_1 \otimes V_2$. In this section we will determine the socle of V and describe some situations in which V is completely reducible. In order to do this we should assume that $< c_1 > \cap < c_2 >= \{1\}$ or $< c_1^* > \cap < c_2* >= \{1\}$ where $< g >$ is the cyclic subgroup generated by an element $g \in G$. Also we keep the assumption that $ord(c_i) = ord(c_i^*) = n_i$ for $i = 1,\ 2$.

Recall from Proposition 3.7 that $\dim V_1 = l_1l_2$ where l_1 and l_2 are uniquely determined by $\lambda_1 = (\beta,\ h) \in \Lambda$. Then a basis of V_1 is given by $x_{i,\ j} = x_1^{i-1}x_2^{j-1}{}_{\ \beta} \cdot h$ for

$1 \leq i \leq l_1$ and $1 \leq j \leq l_2$. Similarly $\dim V_2 = l'_1 l'_2$ such that $l'_1,\ l'_2$ are the two numbers associated to $\lambda_2 = (\beta',\ h') \in \Lambda$. A basis of V_2 is given by $x'_{i,\,j} = x_1^{i-1} x_2^{j-1}{}_{\beta'} \cdot h'$ for $1 \leq i \leq l'_1$ and $1 \leq j \leq l'_2$. In order to simplify the writing for $i = 1,\ 2$ let $\alpha_i(l) = \alpha_i(\beta, h;\ l)$ and $\alpha'_i(l) = \alpha_i(\beta', h';\ l)$.

For any $2 \leq p \leq l_1 + l'_1$ and $2 \leq q \leq l_2 + l'_2$ consider

$$W_{p,\,q} = \mathrm{span}_k < x_{i,\,j} \otimes x_{i',\,j'} | i + i' = p,\ j + j' = q >$$

Clearly

$$V = \bigoplus_{p=2,\,q=2}^{p=l_1+l'_1,\,q=l_2+l'_2} W_{p,\,q}$$

Lemma 4.1. *With the above notations one has that*

1. $x_1 W_{p,\,q} \subset W_{p+1,\,q}$, $x_2 W_{p,\,q} \subset W_{p,\,q+1}$
2. $\xi_1 W_{p,\,q} \subset W_{p-1,\,q}$, $\xi_2 W_{p,\,q} \subset W_{p,\,q-1}$
3. *If* $x \in W_{p,\,q}$ *then* $\chi x = \chi(c_1^{-(p-2)} c_2^{-(q-2)} h h') x$ *and* $gx = (c_1^{*-(p-2)} c_2^{*-(q-2)} \beta\beta')(g) x$.

Proof. One has that $x_1(x_{i,\,j} \otimes x'_{i',\,j'}) = c_1 x_{i,\,j} \otimes x_1 x'_{i',\,j'} + x_1 x_{i,\,j} \otimes x'_{i',\,j'}$ Since $x_1 x'_{i',\,j'} = x'_{i'+1,\,j'}$, $x_1 x_{i,\,j} = x_{i+1,\,j}$ and $c_1 x_{i,\,j} = (c_1^{*-(i-1)} c_2^{*-(j-1)} \beta)(c_1) x_{i,\,j}$ one gets the first formula. Similarly $x_2 W_{p,\,q} \subset W_{p,\,q+1}$.
On the other hand $\xi_1(x_{i,\,j} \otimes x'_{i',\,j'}) = \Delta^{cop}(\xi_1)(x_{i,\,j} \otimes x'_{i',\,j'}) = \xi_1 x_{i,\,j} \otimes c_1^{*-1} x_{i',\,j'} + x_{i,\,j} \otimes \xi_1 x'_{i',\,j'}$. But from Proposition 3.7 one has $\xi_1 x_{i,\,j} = c_1^{*j-1}(c_2) \alpha_1(i-1) x_{i-1,\,j}$, $\xi_1 x'_{i',\,j'} = c_1^{*j'-1}(c_2) \alpha'_1(i'-1) x_{i'-1,\,j'}$ and $c_1^{*-1} x'_{i',\,j'} = c_1^{*-(i'-1)}(c_1) c_1^{*-(j'-1)}(c_2) c_1^{*}(h') x'_{i',\,j'}$. Thus $\xi_1 W_{p,\,q} \subset W_{p-1,\,q}$ and similarly $\xi_2 W_{p,\,q} \subset W_{p,\,q-1}$. From the same Proposition 3.7 it follows that $\chi x_{i,\,j} = \chi(c_1^{-(i-1)} c_2^{-(j-1)} h) x_{i,\,j}$ and $g x_{i\,j} = (c_1^{*-(i-1)} c_2^{*-(j-1)} \beta)(g) x_{i,\,j}$. Applying the same formulae for $x'_{i',\,j'}$ one gets the last identities. ☐

Let $\underline{l_i} = \min\{l_i,\ l'_i\}$ and $\bar{l}_i = \max\{l_i,\ l'_i\}$ for $i = 1,\ 2$.

Lemma 4.2. *With the above notations one has that*

(1)
$$V_\xi = \bigoplus_{2 \leq p \leq \underline{l_1}+1,\ 2 \leq q \leq \underline{l_2}+1} (V_\xi \cap W_{p,\,q})$$
and $\dim V_\xi \cap W_{p,\,q} = 1$ *for all* $2 \leq p \leq \underline{l_1} + 1$ *and* $2 \leq q \leq \underline{l_2} + 1$.

(2) $V_{x_1} \cap W_{p,\,q} = 0$ *if* $p < \bar{l}_1 + 1$ *and* $V_{x_2} \cap W_{p,\,q} = 0$ *if* $q < \bar{l}_2 + 1$.

Proof. 1) Let $x \in V_\xi$ then $x = \sum_{p,\,q} w_{p,\,q}$ with $w_{p,\,q} \in W_{p,\,q}$. One has that $\xi_1 x = \sum_{p,\,q} \xi_1 w_{p,\,q}$ and since $\xi_1 w_{p,\,q} \in W_{p-1,\,q}$ it follows that $\xi_1 w_{p,\,q} = 0$ for all $p,\ q$. Therefore $V_{\xi_1} = \oplus_{p=2,\,q=2}^{l_1+l'_1,\,l_2+l'_2} (V_{\xi_1} \cap W_{p,\,q})$.

Let $x \in W_{p,\,q} \cap V_{\xi}$ and

$$x = \sum_{i+i'=p,\ j+j'=q} a_{i,\,j} x_{i,\,j} \otimes x'_{i',\,j'}$$

with $a_{i,\,j} \in k$. Notice that $\xi_1 x_{i,\,j} = 0$ if and only if $i = 1$.
Let i_0 maximal such that $a_{i_0,\,j} \neq 0$ and let $i'_0 = p - i_0$. Then

$$\xi_1.(a(i_0,\ j)(x_{i_0,\,j} \otimes x_{i'_0,\,j'})) = a(i_0,\ j)\xi_1 x_{i_0,\,j} \otimes c_1^{*-1} x_{i'_0,\,j'} + a(i_0,\ j) x_{i_0,\,j} \otimes \xi_1 x'_{i'_0,\,j'} =$$

$$= a(i_0,\ j) c_1^{*j-1}(c_2) c_1^{*-1}(c_1^{-(i'_0-1)} c_2^{-(j'-1)} h') \alpha_1(i_0 - 1)(x_{i_0-1,\,j} \otimes x'_{i'_0,\,j'}) +$$

$$+ a(i_0,\ j) c_1^{*j'-1}(c_2) \alpha'_1(i'_0 - 1))(x_{i_0,\,j} \otimes x_{i'_0-1,\,j'})$$

Since ξ_1 acting on the tensor products $x_{i,\,j} \otimes x'_{i',\,j'}$ decreases i or i' by one unit, it can be seen that the term $x_{i_0,\,j} \otimes x_{i'_0-1,\,j'}$ cannot be annihilated by another term of $\xi_1 x$. Therefore this term must be zero which can happen only if $i'_0 = 1$. But on the other hand $i'_0 = p - i_0$ and hence $i_0 = p - 1 \leq l_1$. It follows that $p \leq l_1 + 1$. Similarly $p \leq l'_1 + 1$ and thus $p \leq \underline{l_1} + 1$. Thus $V_{\xi_1} \cap W_{p,\,q} = 0$ if $p > \underline{l_1} + 1$. Similarly $V_{\xi_2} \cap W_{p,\,q} = 0$ if $q > \underline{l_2} + 1$.

Clearly $W_{2,\,q} \subset V_{\xi_1}$ and $W_{p,\,2} \subset V_{\xi_2}$. Thus $W_{2,\,2} \subset V_{\xi}$.

If $2 < p \leq \underline{l_1} + 1$ and $2 < q \leq \underline{l_2} + 1$ and

$$x = \sum_{i+i'=p,\ j+j'=q} a_{i,\,j} x_{i,\,j} \otimes x'_{i',\,j'}$$

with $a_{i,\,j} \in k$ then

$$\begin{aligned}
\xi_1 x &= \sum_{i+i'=p,\ j+j'=q} a_{i,\,j} \xi_1(x_{i,\,j} \otimes x'_{i',\,j'}) = \sum_{i+i'=p,\ j+j'=q} a_{i,\,j}(\xi_1 x_{i,\,j} \otimes c_1^{*-1} x_{i',\,j'} + x_{i,\,j} \otimes \xi_1 x'_{i',\,j'}) = \\
&= \sum_{i+i'=p,\ j+j'=q,\ i\geq 2} a_{i,\,j} c_1^{*j-1}(c_2) \alpha_1(i-1) x_{i-1,\,j} \otimes c_1^{*}(c_1^{i'-1} c_2^{j'-1} h^{-1}) x_{i',\,j'} + \\
&+ \sum_{i+i'=p,\ j+j'=q,\ i'\geq 2} a_{i,\,j} x_{i,\,j} \otimes \alpha'_1(i'-1) c_1^{*}(c_2)^{j'-1} x'_{i'-1,\,j'} \\
&= \sum_{i+i'=p,\ j+j'=q,\ i'\geq 2} (a_{i+1,\,j} c_1^{*j-1}(c_2) c_1^{*}(c_1^{i'-2} c_2^{j'-1} h'^{-1}) \alpha_1(i) + a_{i,\,j} c_1^{*}(c_2)^{j'-1} \alpha'_1(i-1))(x_{i,\,j} \otimes x'_{i'-1,\,j'})
\end{aligned}$$

Hence $x \in V_{\xi_1}$ if and only if

$$a_{i+1,\,j} c_1^{*j-1}(c_2) c_1^{*}(c_1^{i'-2} c_2^{j'-1} h'^{-1}) \alpha_1(i) + a_{i,\,j} c_1^{*}(c_2)^{j'-1} \alpha'_1(i'-1) = 0$$

for all $1 \leq i \leq p-2$ and all $1 \leq j \leq q$, where $i' = p - i$ and $j' = q - j$. Since $\alpha'_1(i'-1) \neq 0$ and $\alpha_1(i) \neq 0$ for all $1 \leq i \leq p-2 \leq \underline{l_1} - 1$ and all $2 \leq i' \leq p-1 \leq \underline{l_1}$ it follows that in this situation $V_{\xi_1} \cap W_{p,\,q} \neq 0$. Note that the condition on the scalars $a_{i,\,j}$ is $a_{i+1,\,j} = \gamma_{i,\,j} a_{i,\,j}$ for some given nonzero scalars $\gamma_{i,\,j} \in k$.
Completely similarly, since $\xi_2 x_{i,\,j} = \alpha_2(j-1) x_{i,\,j-1}$ it can be shown that if $2 \leq q \leq \underline{l_2} + 1$ then $x \in V_{\xi_2}$ imposes a condition of the type $a_{i,\,j+1} = \gamma'_{i,\,j} a_{i,\,j}$ for some given

nonzero scalars $\gamma'_{i,\,j} \in k$. Therefore in this situation $\dim(V_\xi \cap W_{p,\,q}) = 1$ since all the scalars $a_{i,\,j}$ are completely determined by $a_{1,\,1}$.

2) The proof of the second statement is similar. Since this time $x_1 W_{p,\,q} \subset W_{p+1,\,q}$ and $x_2 W_{p,\,q} \subset W_{p,\,q+1}$ one gets that $V_{x_1} \cap W_{p,\,q} \neq 0$ if and only if $p \geq \bar{l_1} + 1$ and similarly $V_{x_2} \cap W_{p,\,q} \neq 0$ if and only if $q \geq \bar{l_2} + 1$. □

Note that in the proof of the first part of the previous lemma it was also shown that $V_{\xi_1} \cap W_{p,\,q} \neq 0$ if and only if $2 \leq p \leq \underline{l_1} + 1$ and $V_{\xi_2} \cap W_{p,\,q} \neq 0$ if and only if $2 \leq q \leq \underline{l_2} + 1$.

Proposition 4.3. *With above notations V has at most $\underline{l_1} \cdot \underline{l_2}$ irreducible submodules.*

Proof. Let $\phi : D(H) \to End(V)$ be the corresponding representation. By Lemma 4.2 we can pick up a nonzero element $x_{p,\,q}$ in $V_\xi \cap W_{p,\,q}$ for every $2 \leq p \leq \underline{l_1} + 1$ and $2 \leq q \leq \underline{l_2} + 1$. By Lemma 4.1, for any $g \in G$ and $\chi \in \widehat{G}$ the elements $x_{p,\,q}$ are common eigenvectors of $\phi(g)$ and $\phi(\chi)$, corresponding to different eigenvalues of $\phi(g)$ and $\phi(\chi)$ respectively. Let $U_{p,\,q}$ be the $D(H)$ submodule generated by $x_{p,\,q}$.
Let U be an irreducible $D(H)$ submodule of V. Then $U_\xi \subset V_\xi$ but $V_\xi = \text{span}\{x_{p,\,q} | 2 \leq p \leq \underline{l_1} + 1,\ 2 \leq q \leq \underline{l_2} + 1\}$. Suppose that $0 \neq v \in U_\xi$, it follows that v is common eigenvector of $\phi(g)$ and $\phi(\chi)$. Since $x_{p,q}$ are eigenvectors corresponding of distinct eigenvalues of $\phi(g)$, v must be a scalar multiple of some $x_{p,\,q}$. Consequently, $x_{p,\,q} \in U$ and since U is irreducible it implies that $U = U_{p,\,q}$. □

Lemma 4.4. *Let $U_{p,\,q} =< x_{p,\,q} >$ the module defined above.*

(1) *If $x_1^i x_{p,\,q} \neq 0$ but $\xi_1.(x_1^i x_{p,\,q}) = 0$ then $q_1^{-2(p-2)} \lambda_1 \lambda_2(\phi_1) = q_1^{i-1}$. Thus in this situation $\lambda_1 \lambda_2 \in \Lambda_1$.*
(2) *Suppose $\xi_1(x_1^i x_{p,\,q}) = 0$. If $p + i \geq \bar{l_1} + 2$ then $x_1^i x_{p,\,q} = 0$. If $p + i \leq \underline{l_1} + 1$ then $x_1^i x_{p,\,q} \neq 0$.*

Proof. 1) From Lemma 4.1 it follows that $g.x_{p,\,q} = (c_1^{*-(p-2)} c_2^{*-(q-2)} \beta\beta')(g) x_{p,\,q}$ and $\chi x = \chi(c_1^{-(p-2)} c_2^{-(q-2)} hh') x_{p,\,q}$. Let $\beta'' = c_1^{*-(p-2)} c_2^{*-(q-2)} \beta\beta'$ and $h'' = c_1^{-(p-2)} c_2^{-(q-2)} hh'$. Thus $g.x_{p,\,q} = \beta''(g) x_{p,\,q}$ and $\chi x_{p,\,q} = \chi(h'') x_{p,\,q}$
Suppose $x_1^i x_{p,\,q} \neq 0$ but $\xi_1.(x_1^i x_{p,\,q}) = 0$. Lemma 3.3 implies that
$\xi_1.(x_1^i x_{p,\,q}) = \alpha_1(\beta'',\ h'';\ i)(x_1^{i-1} x_{p,\,q})$ and $\xi_1.(x_1^i x_{p,\,q}) = 0$ precisely when $\alpha_1(\beta'',\ h'';\ i) = 0$ since $x_1^{i-1} x_{p,\,q} \neq 0$. Then from the same Lemma 3.3 it follows that $\beta''(c_1) c_1^*(h'') = q_1^{i-1}$ which is equivalent to

$$c_1^{*-(p-2)}(c_1) c_2^{*-(q-2)}(c_1) \beta(c_1) \beta'(c_1) c_1^*(c_1^{-(p-2)}) c_1^*(c_2^{-(q-2)}) c_1^*(h) c_1^*(h') = q_1^{i-1}$$

Since $c_1^*(c_2) c_2^*(c_1) = 1$ and $c_1^*(c_1) = q_1$ the last relation becomes $q_1^{-2(p-2)} \lambda_1 \lambda_2(\phi_1) = q_1^{i-1}$. Thus $\lambda_1 \lambda_2 \in \Lambda_1$.

2) One has that $x_1^{i-1} x_{p,\,q} \in W_{p+i-1,\,q}$ and $x_1^i x_{p,\,q} \in W_{p+i,\,q}$. If $p + i \geq \bar{l_1} + 2$ then from the remark after the Lemma 4.2 the only possibility to have $\xi_1(x_1^i x_{p,\,q}) = 0$ is

$x_1^i x_{p,\,q} = 0$. On the other hand if If $p+i \le \underline{l_1}+1$ then $p+i-1 \le \underline{l_1}$ and the same remark implies that $x_1^i x_{p,\,q} = x_1.(x_1^{i-1}x_{p,\,q}) \neq 0$.

□

There are two similar statements for x_2 and ξ_2. One has that $x_2^i x_{p,\,q} \neq 0$ but $\xi_2.(x_2^i x_{p,\,q}) = 0$ implies $q_2^{-2(q-2)}\lambda_1\lambda_2(\phi_2) = q_2^{i-1}$ and thus in this situation $\lambda_1\lambda_2 \in \Lambda_2$. On the other hand, suppose $\xi_2(x_2^i x_{p,\,q}) = 0$. If $q+i \ge \bar{l_2}+2$ then $x_2^i x_{p,\,q} = 0$. If $q+i \le \underline{l_2}+1$ then $x_2^i x_{p,\,q} \neq 0$.

In the following we refer to the condition $x_1^i x_{p,\,q} \neq 0$ implies $\xi_1.(x_1^i x_{p,\,q}) \neq 0$ as the first condition of the Lemma 3.11. From the proof of the previous lemma, the condition $q_1^{-2(p-2)}\lambda_1\lambda_2(\phi_1) = q_1^{i-1}$ implies that $\xi_1.(x_1^i x_{p,\,q}) = 0$ but not also $x_1^i x_{p,\,q} \neq 0$. In other words, one does not have equivalence in the first statement of this lemma.

We first describe the socle of V in the situation $\lambda_1,\ \lambda_2 \in \Lambda_1 \cap \Lambda_2$. Recall that for λ_1 that means $\beta(c_1)c_1^*(h) = q_1^{l_1-1}$ and $\beta(c_2)c_2^*(h) = q_2^{l_2-1}$ with $1 \le l_i \le n_i$. Similarly for λ_2 one has $\beta'(c_1)c_1^*(h') = q_1^{l_1'-1}$ and $\beta(c_2)c_2^*(h) = q_2^{l_2'-1}$ with $1 \le l_i' \le n_i$.

Let $t_1 = \max\{l_1 + l_1' - n_1 - 1,\ 0\}$ and $c_1 = [\frac{t_1+1}{2}]$ and similarly let $t_2 = \max\{l_2 + l_2' - n_2 - 1,\ 0\}$ and $c_2 = [\frac{t_2+1}{2}]$.

Theorem 4.5. *With the above notations suppose that* $\lambda_1,\ \lambda_2 \in \Lambda_1 \cap \Lambda_2$. *It follows that:*

$$\mathrm{soc}(H_{\beta} \cdot h \otimes H_{\beta'} \cdot h') = \bigoplus_{p=c_1,\ q=c_2}^{p=\underline{l_1}-1,\ q=\underline{l_2}-1} H_{(c_1^{*-p}c_2^{*-q}\beta\beta')} \cdot (c_1^{-p}c_2^{-q}hh')$$

Proof. Using the notations from the proof of the previous proposition we have to decide when $U_{p,\,q}$ is irreducible. For this, one has to verify when $x_1^i x_{p,\,q} \neq 0$ implies $\xi_1.(x_1^i x_{p,\,q}) \neq 0$ and $x_2^i x_{p,\,q} \neq 0$ implies $\xi_2.(x_2^i x_{p,\,q}) \neq 0$. From the first part of Lemma 4.4 it follows that $x_1^i x_{p,\,q} \neq 0$ and $\xi_1.(x_1^i x_{p,\,q}) = 0$ implies $q_1^{-2(p-2)}\lambda_1\lambda_2(\phi_1) = q_1^{i-1}$. But $\lambda_1(\phi_1) = q_1^{l_1-1}$ and $\lambda_2(\phi_1) = q_1^{l_1'-1}$. Thus $q_1^{-2(p-2)}\lambda_1\lambda_2(\phi_1) = q_1^{i-1}$ is equivalent to $q_1^{-2p+4+l_1+l_1'-2} = q_1^{i-1}$ or $i = l_1 + l_1' - 2p + 3(mod\ n_1)$. Similarly $x_2^j x_{p,\,q} \neq 0$ but $\xi_2.(x_2^j x_{p,\,q}) = 0$ implies $j = l_2 + l_2' - 2q + 3(mod\ n_2)$.

Assume first that $l_1 + l_1' \le n_1 + 1$ which means $c_1 = 0$. Then $1 \le -2p + l_1 + l_1' + 3 \le l_1 + l_1' - 1 \le n_1$ and therefore $i = -2p + l_1 + l_1' + 3$. But then $i + p = -p + l_1 + l_1' + 3 > \underline{l_1} + 1$ since $p \le \underline{l_1} + 1 < \bar{l_1} + 2$. Therefore by the second statement of the Lemma 4.4 it follows that $x_1^i x_{p\,q} = 0$. If $l_2 + l_2' \le n_2 + 1$ a similar discussion shows that $x_2^j x_{p,\,q} \neq 0$ implies that $\xi_2.(x_2^j x_{p,\,q}) \neq 0$. Thus in this situation all $U_{p,\,q}$ are irreducible and from Lemma 3.11 they are isomorphic to $H_{\beta''} \cdot h'' = H_{c_1^{*-(p-2)}c_2^{*-(q-2)}\beta\beta'} \cdot c_1^{-(p-2)}c_2^{-(q-2)}hh'$.

Suppose now that $l_1 + l_1' > n_1 + 1$ which means that $c_1 = l_1 + l_1' - n_1 - 1$. Then $l_1 + l_1' - 2\underline{l_1} + 1 \le l_1 + l_1' - 2p + 3 \le l_1 + l_1' - 1$ But $1 \le l_1 + l_1' - 2\underline{l_1} + 1$ and $l_1 + l_1' - 1 \le 2n_1 - 1$. Thus $1 \le l_1 + l_1' - 2p + 3 \le 2n_1 - 1$. It can be checked that $l_1 + l_1' - 2p + 3 > n_1$ if and only if $p < c_1 + 2$. As above $x_1^i x_{p,\,q} \neq 0$ and $\xi_1.(x_1^i x_{p,\,q}) = 0$

implies that $i = -2p + l_1 + l'_1 + 3(mod\ n_1)$. Since $1 \leq i \leq n_1 - 1$ it follows that $i = -2p + l_1 + l'_1 + 3 - n_1$ if $p < c_1 + 2$ and $i = -2p + l_1 + l'_1 + 3$ if $p \geq c_1 + 2$.
Assume that $p < c_1 + 2$. In this situation $i = -2p + l_1 + l'_1 + 3 - n_1$. Since $p + i = l_1 + l'_1 - p + 3 - n_1 \leq \bar{l}_1 + 1$ it follows by the second part of Lemma 4.4 that $x_1^i x_{p,\, q} \neq 0$. Therefore in this situation $U_{p,\, q}$ is not irreducible since $x_1^i x_{p,\, q} \neq 0$ but $\xi_1.(x_1^i x_{p,\, q}) = 0$.
If $p \geq c_1 + 2$ we have seen that $i = -2p + l_1 + l'_1 + 3$. But then, as in the first part of the proof, one has $p + i = -p + l_1 + l'_1 + 3 > \underline{l_1} + 1$. Therefore by the second part of Lemma 4.4 it follows that $x_1^i x_{p,\, q} = 0$ and thus the first condition of Lemma 3.11 is satisfied.
A similar discussion shows that if $q < c_2 + 2$ then there is j with $1 \leq j \leq n_2 - 1$ such that $x_2^j x_{p,\, q} \neq 0$ but $\xi_2.(x_2^j x_{p,\, q}) = 0$ and in this situation $U_{p,\, q}$ is not irreducible. Therefore $U_{p,\, q}$ is irreducible if and only if $p \geq c_1 + 2$ and $q \geq c_2 + 2$. As above in this situation $U_{p,\, q} \cong H_{c_1^{*-(p-2)} c_2^{*-(q-2)} \beta\beta'} \cdot c_1^{-(p-2)} c_2^{-(q-2)} hh'$ and one gets the socle formula from the theorem after indexing p and q with two units smaller. ☐

Corollary 4.6. *Suppose that* $\lambda_1,\ \lambda_2 \in \Lambda_1 \cap \Lambda_2$. *If* $l_1 + l'_1 \leq n_1 + 1$ *and* $l_2 + l'_2 \leq n_2 + 1$ *then* V *is completely reducible and*

$$H_{\beta} \cdot h \otimes H_{\beta'} \cdot h' = \bigoplus_{p=0,\ q=0}^{p=\underline{l_1}-1,\ q=\underline{l_2}-1} H_{(c_1^{*-p} c_2^{*-q} \beta\beta')} \cdot (c_1^{-p} c_2^{-q} hh')$$

Proof. In the first part of the proof of the main theorem it was shown that in this situation all the modules $U_{p,\, q}$ are irreducible for all $2 \leq p \leq \underline{l_1} + 1$ and $2 \leq q \leq \underline{l_2} + 1$. By Proposition 3.7 the dimension of $U_{p,\, q}$ is $l_1(p,\ q) l_2(p,\ q)$ where $l_1(p,\ q)$ and $l_2(p,\ q)$ are such that $\beta''(c_1) c_1^*(h'') = q_1^{l_1(p,\, q)-1}$ and $\beta''(c_2) c_2^*(h'') = q_2^{l_2(p,\, q)-1}$. It follows that $l_1(p,\ q) = l_1 + l'_1 - 2p + 3$ and $l_2(p,\ q) = l_2 + l'_2 - 2q + 3$. Thus $l_1(p,\ q)$ depends only on p and it can be denoted with $l(p)$. Similarly let $l(q) = l_2(p,\ q)$. Since $\sum_{p=2}^{p=\underline{l_1}+1} l(p) = -2(\sum_{p=2}^{p=\underline{l_1}+1} p) + \underline{l_1}(l_1 + l'_1 + 3) = -2[(\underline{l_1}+1)(\underline{l_1}+2)/2 - 1)] + \underline{l_1}^2 + \underline{l_1}\bar{l}_1 + 3\underline{l_1} = l_1 l'_1$ and since similarly $\sum_{q=2}^{q=\underline{l_2}+1} l(q) = l_2 l'_2$ it follows that $\sum_{p,\, q} \mathrm{dim} U_{p,q} = (\sum_p l(p))(\sum_q l(q)) = \mathrm{dim} V$ and $V = \oplus_{p,\, q}^{\underline{l_1}+1,\ \underline{l_2}+1} U_{p,\, q}$. ☐

Corollary 4.7. *Suppose that* $\lambda_1,\ \lambda_2 \in \Lambda_1 \cap \Lambda_2$. *With the above notations if* $l_1 \neq l'_1$ *or* $l_2 \neq l'_2$ *then* V *does not contain any one dimensional submodule. If* $l_1 = l'_1$ *and* $l_2 = l'_2$ *then* V *contains a unique one dimensional submodule.*

Proof. It can be easily checked that if U is a one dimensional module then $U = U_{\xi} = U_x$. But from Lemma 4.2 if $V_{\xi} \cap V_x \neq 0$ then $l_1 = l'_1$ and $l_2 = l'_2$. In this situation $V_{\xi} \cap V_x \subset V_{l_1+1,\, l_2+1}$. Let $p = l_1 + 1$ and $q = l_2 + 1$. Then, by a simple computation, it can be checked that $p \geq c_1 + 2$ and $q \geq c_2 + 1$. Therefore the proof of the main theorem implies that the module $U_{p,\, q}$ generated by $x_{p,\, q}$ is irreducible. Its dimension is $l(p)l(q)$. As above, one has that $l(p) = l_1 + l'_1 - 2(l_1 + 1) + 3(mod\, n_1)$. Since $l_1 = l'_1$

it follows that $l(p) = 1$ and similarly $l(q) = 1$. Therefore $U_{p,\, q}$ is one dimensional and it is the unique one dimensional submodule of V. $\square$

Suppose that both $(\beta,\ g)$, $(\beta'\ , h')$ are not in $\Lambda_1 \cup \Lambda_2$. If $(\beta\beta',\ hh') \notin \Lambda_1 \cup \Lambda_2$ then from the first part of Lemma 4.4 it follows that $U_{p,\, q}$ are irreducible for any possible value of $2 \leq p \leq n_1 + 1$ and $2 \leq q \leq n_2 + 1$. Therefore in this situation, the socle is described as follows:

Theorem 4.8. *Suppose that both $(\beta,\ g)$, $(\beta'\ , h')$ are not in $\Lambda_1 \cup \Lambda_2$. If $(\beta\beta',\ hh') \notin \Lambda_1 \cup \Lambda_2$ then*

$$\mathrm{soc}(H_{\,\beta} \cdot h \otimes H_{\,\beta'} \cdot h') = \bigoplus_{p=0,\ q=0}^{p=n_1-1,\ q=n_2-1} H_{(c_1^{*-p} c_2^{*-q} \beta\beta')} \cdot (c_1^{-p} c_2^{-q} hh')$$

For any $1 \leq m \leq n_1$ let A_m be the set of integers $2 \leq p \leq n_1 + 1$ satisfying at least one of the following three inequalities: $[\frac{m+5}{2}] \leq p \leq min(m + 2,\ [\frac{m+n_1+3}{2}])$, $p \geq [\frac{m+n_1+5}{2}]$ and $p \leq 2 + m - n_1$. It can be shown that $A_m = [[\frac{m+5}{2}],\ m + 2] \cup [[\frac{m+n_1+5}{2}],\ n_1 + 1]$ if $m \leq n_1 - 2$, $A_m = [[\frac{m+5}{2}],\ m + 2]$ if $m = n_1 - 1$, and $A_m = [[\frac{m+5}{2}],\ n_1 + 1] \cup \{2\}$ if $m = n_1$. For $1 \leq m \leq n_2$, define the sets B_m satisfying at least one of the same inequalities with n_1 replaced by n_2.

Theorem 4.9. *Suppose that both $(\beta,\ g)$, $(\beta'\ , h')$ are not in $\Lambda_1 \cup \Lambda_2$ and $\lambda_1\lambda_2 = (\beta\beta',\ hh') \in \Lambda_1 \cap \Lambda_2$. For $i = 1,\ 2$ let $\lambda_1\lambda_2(\phi_i) = q_i^{m_i-1}$ with $1 \leq m_i \leq n_i$. Then*

$$\mathrm{soc}(H_{\,\beta} \cdot h \otimes H_{\,\beta'} \cdot h') = \bigoplus_{p\in A_{m_1},\ q\in B_{m_2}} H_{(c_1^{*-(p-2)} c_2^{*-(q-2)} \beta\beta')} \cdot (c_1^{-(p-2)} c_2^{-(q-2)} hh')$$

Proof. One has to decide for which values of $p,\ q$ the module $U_{p,\, q}$ is irreducible. From Lemma 4.4 it follows that $x_1^i x_{p,\, q} \neq 0$ but $\xi_1.(x_1^i x_{p,\, q}) = 0$ implies $q_1^{-2(p-2)} \lambda_1\lambda_2(\phi_1) = q_1^{i-1}$ which is equivalent to $i = m_1 - 2p + 4 (mod\, n_1)$.

Remark that in this situation $\overline{l_1} = \underline{l_1} = n_1$. From the second part of Lemma 4.4 it follows that if $p + i \leq n_1 + 1$ then $x_1^i x_{p,\, q} \neq 0$ but $\xi_1(x_1^i x_{p,\, q}) = 0$, therefore in this situation $U_{p,\, q}$ is not irreducible. On the other hand if $p + i \geq n_1 + 2$ then $x_1^i x_{p,\, q} = 0$. A similar remark can be made for ξ_2 and x_2.

One has $1 - 2(n_1 + 1) + 4 = -2n_1 + 3 \leq m_1 - 2p + 4 \leq n_1$ since $1 \leq m_1 \leq n_1$ and $2 \leq p \leq n_1 + 1$. Since $1 \leq i \leq n_1$ it follows that i could be $m_1 - 2p + 4 + 2n_1$, $m_1 - 2p + 4 + n_1$ or $m_1 - 2p + 4$ depending in which intervals $m_1 - 2p + 4$ is situated.

In the first situation $i = m_1 - 2p + 4 + 2n_1$ precisely when $-3 + 2n_1 \leq m_1 - 2p + 4 \leq -n_1$ which can happen if and only if $2p \geq m_1 + n_1 + 4$ or $p \geq [\frac{m_1+n_1+5}{2}]$. But in this situation $p + i = m_1 - p + 4 + 2n_1 \geq n_1 + 2$ and therefore by the second part of Lemma 4.4 it follows that $x_1^i x_{p,\, q} = 0$. Thus in this situation the first condition from Lemma 3.11 is satisfied.

Suppose now that $i = m_1 - 2p + 4 + n_1$ which means that $-n_1 + 1 \leq m_1 - 2p + 4 \leq 0$. From before, the first inequality, namely $-n_1 + 1 \leq m_1 - 2p + 4$, is equivalent with

$p < [\frac{m_1+n_1+5}{2}]$. The second inequality is equivalent to $2p \geq m_1+4$ or $p \geq [\frac{m_1+5}{2}]$. Thus this second situation can happen if and only if $[\frac{m_1+5}{2}] \leq p < [\frac{m_1+n_1+5}{2}]$. Then $p+i = m_1 - p + 4 + n_1$ and $p + i \leq n_1 + 1$ if and only if $p \geq m_1 + 3$. Thus if $p \geq m_1 + 3$ then $p + i \leq n_1 + 1$ and the first condition of the Lemma 3.11 is not satisfied. Obviously, in the other situation, if $p \leq m_1 + 2$ then the condition of the same lema is satisfied since $p + i \geq n_1 + 2$. Summarizing in this situation, the first condition of the Lemma is satisfied if and only if $[\frac{m_1+5}{2}] \leq p \leq min(m_1+2,\ [\frac{m_1+n_1+3}{2}])$.

The last situation is $i = m_1 - 2p + 4$ or equivalently $1 \leq m_1 - 2p + 4 \leq n_1$. Clearly that can happen if and only if $p \leq [\frac{m_1+3}{2}]$. But then $p + i = m_1 - p + 4$ and $p + i \leq n_1 + 1$ is equivalent to $p \geq 3 + m_1 - n_1$. Thus if $m_1 < n_1 - 1$ then $p \geq 2 \geq 3 + m_1 - n_1$ and the first condition of the Lemma 3.11 is not satisfied. If $m_1 = n_1$ then for $p = 2$ the first condition is satisfied.

Summarizing the three situations one gets that the first condition is satisfied if and only if $p \in A_{m_1}$. A similar discussion regarding the second condition of the same lemma implies that $q \in B_{m_2}$. □

A similar proof can be done if $\lambda_1,\ \lambda_2 \notin \Lambda_1 \cup \Lambda_2$ and $\lambda_1\lambda_2$ is in one of the Λ_i but not in the other. For example, if $\lambda_1\lambda_2 \in \Lambda_1 \setminus \Lambda_2$ then

$$\mathrm{soc}(H_{\beta} \cdot h \otimes H_{\beta'} \cdot h') = \bigoplus_{p \in A_{m_1},\, q=2}^{q=n_2+1} H_{(c_1^{*-(p-2)} c_2^{*-(q-2)} \beta\beta')} \cdot (c_1^{-(p-2)} c_2^{-(q-2)} hh')$$

where $\lambda_1\lambda_2(\phi_1) = q_1^{m_1-1}$.

References

[AS06] N. Andruskiewitsch and H.-J. Schneider. On the classification of finite-dimensional pointed Hopf algebras. *arXiv:math/0502157*, 2006.

[BDG00] M. Beattie, S. Dăscălescu, and L. Grünenfelder. Constructing pointed Hopf algebras by Ore extensions. *J. Algebra*, 225:743–770, 2000.

[Che00] H. X. Chen. Irreducible representations of a Class of Quantum Doubles. *J. Algebra*, 225:391–409, 2000.

[EGST06] K. Erdmann, E. L. Green, N. Snashall, and R. Taillefer. Representation theory of the Drinfel'd doubles of a family of Hopf algebras. *J.Pure Appl. Algebra*, 204(2):413–454, 2006.

[KR06] L. Krop and D. Radford. Finite-dimensional Hopf algebras of rank one in characteristic zero. *J. Algebra*, 302:214–230, 2006.

[Per06] M. Pereira. Factorization of simple modules for certain pointed Hopf algebras. *arxiv:math /RT0702614v1*, 2006.

[Rad03] D. Radford. On oriented quantum algebras derived from representations of the quantum double of a finite-dimensional Hopf algebra. *J. Algebra*, 270:670–695, 2003.

[Sch06] S. Scherotzke. Classification of pointed rank one Hopf algebras. *arXiv:math/0703601*, 2006.

Inst. of Math. "Simion Stoilow" of the Romanian Academy P.O. Box 1-764, RO-014700, Bucharest, Romania, smburciu@syr.edu